Ethical, administrative, regulatory and logistic bottlenecks and solutions for pandemic-relevant clinical research in Europe: findings from PREPARE WP1



Alistair Nichol¹ (lead), Christopher Butler², Nina Gobat³, Micaela Gal³, Nicholas Francis³ Angela Watkins³, Kerry Hood³, Ronald Moore¹, JP Byrne¹, Prasanth Sukumar¹ and Steve Webb⁵.

¹University College Dublin, ²University of Oxford, ³Cardiff University, ⁵University of Western Australia.

PREPARE is an EU-FP7 funded European network for harmonized large-scale clinical research studies on infectious diseases, providing evidence for the clinical management of patients and informing of public health responses. PREPARE WP1 is examining ethical, administrative, regulatory and logistical (EARL) barriers and solutions to pandemic-relevant research.



REGULATING PANDEMIC-RELEVANT STUDIES IN EUROPE: ARE WE READY?

- National Competent Authorities (NCAs) and Research Ethics Committees (RECs) across Europe are not uniformly ready to expedite review of clinical research in a public health emergency^{1,2}.
- NCAs and RECs support the need for clinical research in an infectious disease outbreak and for swift review. However there is variability across EU member states regarding the availability of expedited review and lack of clarity regarding the standard operating procedures for such review^{1,2}.
- Guidance needed regarding expedited review under the forthcoming Clinical Trials Regulation.



WHAT DO POTENTIAL RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS THINK ABOUT RESEARCH IN A PANDEMIC?

- Potential research participants consider it important that medical research is done during an influenza pandemic^{3,4}: 84% of 6804 respondents thought clinical research should be done in an influenza pandemic and 75% thought that "special rules" should apply to make it happen³.
- We also found support for more proportionate research protection procedures for publically funded, low-risk comparative effectiveness research^{4,5}.
- We need to bring people with us in our efforts to integrate research into response. Wider public dialogue is needed, particularly initiatives to build research literacy³.



ADPATIVE PLATFORM TRIALS: A CLOSER LOOK

Novel trial designs offer promise for delivering clinical research in an ID outbreak. However, little is known about stakeholder experiences of these designs^{4,5}. PREPARE WP1 is leading a qualitative study embedded within the REMAP-CAP clinical trial. As part of this study, we will evaluate the effect of a novel audio-visual intervention designed to enrich information exchange about the multifactorial component of the REMAP design and about response adaptive randomisation. This audio-visual intervention will be shared with patients or proxy decision makers during the consent process for this trial.

REFERENCES

- 1. WP1 Report on Pandemic Research Preparedness Survey of National Competent Authorities and Research Ethics Committees across Europe. Enclosed in delegate pack.
- 2. Sukumar, P. 2018. Epidemics, Ethics and Clinical Trials: A Sociological Investigation into the Ethical Approval of Clinical Trials in Europe in the Context of Severe Infectious Disease Outbreaks. Submitted for examination, UCD.
- 3. [Forthcoming Conference Paper] Gobat, N., Butler, C.C., Harris, V., Gal, M., Francis, N.A., Mollison, J., Byrne, JP, Watkins, A., Hood, K., Moore, R., Sukumar, P., Webb, S.A.R., and Nichol, A. "Knowledge, trust and experience make the difference: what members of the public think about taking part in medical research during an Influenza pandemic an international cross-sectional survey across eight OECD countries". Accepted for presentation at the Lancet Public Health Science Conference, 23rd November 2018, Queens University Belfast
- 4. Gobat, N. H., M. Gal, C. C. Butler, S. A. R. Webb, N. A. Francis, H. Stanton, S. Anthierens, H. Bastiaens, M. Godycki-Cwirko, A. Kowalczyk, M. Pons-Vigues, E. Pujol-Ribera, A. Berenguera, A. Watkins, P. Sukumar, R. G. Moore, K. Hood and A. Nichol (2018). "Talking to the people that really matter about their participation in pandemic clinical research: A qualitative study in four European countries." Health Expect 21(1): 387-395
- 5. Gobat, N. H., M. Gal, N. A. Francis, K. Hood, A. Watkins, J. Turner, R. Moore, S. A. R. Webb, C. C. Butler and A. Nichol (2015). "Key stakeholder perceptions about consent to participate in acute illness research: a rapid, systematic review to inform epi/pandemic research preparedness." Trials 16: 591.

Prof. Alistair Nichol, PREPARE EARL WP Lead, <u>alistair.nichol@ucd.ie</u>; Dr. Nina Gobat, PREPARE EARL Research Fellow <u>GobatNH@cardiff.ac.uk</u>; Dr. JP Byrne, PREPARE EARL Postdoctoral Researcher, <u>jp.byrne@ucd.ie</u>